EXHIBIT #18

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 07-15195 (MS) Case NO. ORIGINAL IN RE: BAYONNE MEDICAL CENTER: 3 ALLEN D. WILEN, DEPOSITION OF: Plaintiff, ADOLPH WALTER 5 6 BAYONNE/OMNI DEVELOPMENT LLC, et al, 7 Defendants. 8 9 TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and 10 before MELISSA A. HILDEMANN, a Certified Shorthand 11 Reporter Realtime and Notary Public of the State of 12 New Jersey, at the offices of GRUEN & GOLDSTEIN, 13 1150 West Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey 07083, 14 on Monday, March 1, 2010, commencing at 10:04 in the 15 morning. 16 17 .18 19 2.0 21. 22 23 24 25

```
46
 1
   Addendum.
                     MR. FALANGA: That's -- object to
 2
 3
   the form.
                     I didn't do this.
 4
            Α.
                     MR. FALANGA: Right. I'm letting
 5
   the record reflect that Mr. Gruen has presented the
 6
   witness with Exhibit D. Walter-4, which is not the
 7
 8
   report.
 9
   EXAMINATION BY MR. GRUEN:
10
                     You want the question back again
11
            Q.
12
   now?
                     I didn't consider that at all.
            Α.
13
                     Okay, thank you.
14
            Q.
                   Are you familiar with the term
15
   Certificate of Need?
16
                      I've heard of it.
17
            Α.
                     Okay. Did you consider at all in
18
            Q.
   connection with your appraisal whether Bayonne
19
   Medical Center had a Certificate of Need for
20
   development consistent with the Addendum?
21
                      I didn't get into this.
22
            Α.
                      "This," meaning the Addendum.
23
            Q.
                      The Addendum.
24
            Α.
                      MR. FALANGA: Object to form.
25
```

```
47
                     The Addendum had no impact on
 1
            Q.
   your analysis; is that correct?
 2
 3
            Α.
                     No.
                                    Object to form.
                                                      The
                     MR. FALANGA:
 4
   characterization of the Addendum has not been
 5
   established in the record.
 6
                     I did it as is. Do I even have
 7
           "See Addendum," okay.
 8
                     Once again, the Addendum was
 9
            0.
   something you received from Bayonne Medical
10
   Center; is that correct?
11
                     MR. FALANGA: Object to form.
12
                      You can answer the question.
            Q.
13
                      Yes.
            Α.
14
                     And before doing your appraisal
15
            Q.
   report, correct?
16
                      MR. FALANGA: Same objection.
17
                      Yes.
            Α.
18
                      If the -- if the buildings were
19
            Q.
   smaller than the 25,000 square feet that you've
20
   shown us you determined was their square footage,
21
   would that affect your opinion as reflected in the
22
23
   appraisal?
                      I think it would.
            Α.
24
                      Okay. I'm going to skip through
25
            Q.
```

EXHIBIT #19

13

I was only interested in what I 1 Α. would -- they were interested in how much I would 2 be charging for an appraisal. 3 Okay. 4 Q. It was a lot more than what it 5 Α. wound up. And that's what that letter here -- I 6 asked Apsel to send me some kind of a thing 7 because after that meeting, they were all over the 8 place. They weren't specific like people that are . 9 -- they were layman. So I was confused as to 10 actually what did they want me to do. Did they 11 want me to do an appraisal on what it would cost 12 for putting up a new -- a new building. 13 quoted it. It was a lot more, maybe \$7,500, in 14 that range, I usually would charge for something 15 like that. So I called them up -- Marvin, I 16 called up -- I don't know if it was the same day 17 or next day -- to get a clarification. I asked 18 him to make up something, a list, and this is what 19 he made up and sent me. 20 21 Okay. Q. 22

And in here --Α.

MR. GRUEN: We can mark this now. 23 (Exhibit Walter-4, Multiuse Medical 24

Arts Building, marked for identification.)

would be good because he didn't have plans

24

```
16
 1
    available --
                     MR. FALANGA: Can I see?
 2
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
 3
                     There was no plans available as
            Α.
 4
    far as the new construction they were going to put
 5
    on there.
 6
                   So I said, Why don't I do the -- do
 7
    it as vacant land as is, what you have there now.
 8
    Get a value on that. And when you get the plans,
 9
    or the contractors, or whoever, you know, get it
10
    together and at least you know what the land is
11
    and what you have there.
.12
                      MR. FALANGA: What was marked is
13
    Walter-2. I apologize. I was confused here.
14
                      THE COURT REPORTER: It was 2 -- so
15
    1 was the subpoena, 2 is the report, 3 is the
16
    September 16th letter and 4 is the whatever is
17
    there.
18
                      MR. FALANGA: That's it.
19
20
    you.
                      THE WITNESS: Am I confusing or
21
22
    what?
                      MR. GRUEN: No, no. It's fine.
23
24
    EXAMINATION BY MR. GRUEN:
25
```

```
18
   as is.
 1
                     Let me -- let take you to --
 2
            Q.
                     You might want that. I have a
 3
            Α.
 4
   copy.
                     I'm going to come back to it.
 5
            Q.
                     MR. FALANGA: We're going to need
 6
   to keep the original exhibits here.
 7
                                    Oh, I'm sorry.
                     THE WITNESS:
 8
                                    That's okay. Just
                     MR. FALANGA:
 9
   until the conclusion of the deposition.
10
                     THE WITNESS: I have it here.
                                                      Just
11
   stamp it Copy, so I don't get them mixed up.
12
13
   EXAMINATION BY MR. GRUEN:
14
                     Let me show you on your appraisal
15
   report, Walter-2. The pages are not numbered, but
16
   it's the first series of photographs. The one on
17
   the top is called, "Subject, front view," and the
18
   one on the bottom is called "Subject, front view."
19
                     Yes.
20
            Α.
                     I referred earlier in my
21
            Q.
   questioning to a one-story warehouse. Does the
22
   photograph on the bottom reflect what you saw at
23
   the site?
24
                     The bottom one does, yes.
25
            Α.
```

```
20
                          I don't think they knew
 1
            Α.
                     No.
   themselves what they wanted to do...
 2
                     MR. FALANGA: It's all on the
 3
   record, so you know.
 4
                     THE WITNESS: It's --
 5
                     MR. FALANGA: I understand.
 6
                     THE WITNESS: I was very confused
 7
                They were amateurs, so to speak.
   with them.
8
                     How were they amateurs?
 9
            Q.
                     Amateurs?
10
            Α.
                     Yeah.
11
            Q.
                     They were all over the place.
12
            Α.
   couldn't -- that's why I had to call up and find
13
   out what exactly do you want, you know, as far as
14
   the appraisal is concerned.
15
                     But ultimately then, if I
16
   understand you correctly, after the clarification,
17
   you determined that the facts set forth in
1.8
   Defendant Walter-4 would not be the basis for your
19
   appraisal report; is that correct?
20
                         FALANGA: Object to the form.
21
                     MR.
                     You can answer. So you ignored
22
            0.
   for purposes of the appraisal what's set forth in
2.3
   that document, correct?
24
                     Well, yeah. I got clarification
            Α.
25
```

```
21
 1
    on it.
                    But the facts set forth in that
 2
            Q.
    document were not used by you in connection with
 3
    the generation of the appraisal report.
 4
 5
                     No, no.
            Α.
                     MR. FALANGA: Objection to the
 6
 7
   form.
                     Now, there's a series of
 8
            Q.
   handwritten notes that I'm taking out of the file
 9
   that you produced a moment ago in response to the
10
   Document Demand No. 1. They are on lined, white
11
             These are the documents that you
12
   mentioned that you put the red pen on. And I'm
13
   going to have these marked as one exhibit.
14
                      (Exhibit Walter-5, Handwritten
15
   Notes, marked for identification.)
16
                     Last sheet, on the next-to-last
17
            Q.
   sheet there is a diagram. Are these the buildings
18
   that comprise the subject of your appraisal?
19
                            This is --
20
            Α.
                     Yes.
                     MR. FALANGA: Object to the form.
21
                     This is a -- the thing you just
22
            Α.
   pointed out before.
23
                     Referring to the building on the
24
            Q.
25
   left side?
```

EXHIBIT #20

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CASE NO. 07-15195 (MS)

CHAPTER 11

In re BAYONNE MEDICAL CENTER,

Debtor,

ORIGINAL

BAYONNE MEDICAL CENTER,

Debtor and
Debtor-in-Possession; and

ALLEN D. WILEN, in his
capacity as Liquidating

Trustee and Estate
Representative for the Estate
of Debtor, Bayonne Medical
Center,

DEPOSITION OF:

ROBERT S. BURNEY

VOLUME II (Pages 36-115)

Plaintiff,

-vs-

BAYONNE/OMNI DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., a New Jersey limited liability company; et al.,

Defendants.

BEFORE:

SHARON B. STOPPIELLO, a Certified Court
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New
Jersey, at the offices of CONNELL FOLEY, L.L.P.,
85 Livingston Avenue, Roseland, New Jersey, on
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2010, commencing at 10:02
a.m., pursuant to Notice.

DepoLink

Court Reporting & Litigation Support Services

Phone (973) 353-9880 Fax (973) 353-9445

www.depolinklegal.com

- 1 you're reading from the bottom of the page on
- 2 LMEC001?
- MR. COPLON: Correct, yes.
- A. I believe that's because the
- 5 buildings that were in existence at the time had to
- 6 be demolished, and that was an added expense to the
- 7 purchaser. In other words, the buildings themselves
- 8 were specialty-use buildings for a hospital that
- 9 couldn't be used by other users.
- 10 O. The buildings that existed were old
- 11 buildings, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And when you say specialty use for
- 14 the hospital, what were they used for?
- 15 A. I don't recall the exact uses. I
- 16 think some of them may have been storage and other
- 17 things, but they were built for use by the hospital.
- 18 They were not going to be used and retrofitted for
- 19 the use contemplated by Omni, or anyone else for
- 20 that matter.
- Q. So when the hospital was looking to
- 22 sell this property, is it fair to say that Cushman &
- 23 Wakefield was instructed or was told everybody
- 24 recognizes these buildings are teardowns, have to be
- 25 coming down?

- 1 MR. CAMBRIA: I object to the form of
- 2 the question in terms of everybody realized. It
- 3 just is rather vague. But go ahead, you can answer.
- 4 A. I think it was understood that no one
- 5 would pay for the value of buildings that they have
- 6 to knock down.
- 7 Q. And was it equally understood that no
- 8 one would want the buildings in their current
- 9 condition?
- MR. PIZZI: Object to the form.
- 11 A. I can't say that nobody would want
- 12 them. There wasn't a buyer that was interested in
- 13 them of which the hospital was aware. And there
- 14 were also certain environmental issues related to
- 15 those buildings.
- Q. What environmental issues are you
- 17 talking about?
- 18 A. I don't have the details here, but
- 19 there was certain environmental remediation that had
- 20 to be conducted in those buildings.
- 21 Q. So it was understood when Lindabury
- 22 commissioned the Cushman & Wakefield people, it was
- 23 understood that the concept of value would require
- 24 the appraiser to consider that any buyer would have
- 25 to both demolish the existing structures, remove the

- 1 existing structures and take care of environmental
- 2 issues?
- 3 A. That would be correct.
- 4 Q. Any transfer of that land to anybody?
- 5 A. I can't say that. Could there be in
- 6 the universe of buyers someone who would buy the
- 7 building as they existed? I don't know. No one had
- 8 apparently approached the hospital with that
- 9 transaction.
- 10 Q. And that would explain why the
- 11 engagement letter by which Lindabury retained
- 12 Cushman & Wakefield asked the appraiser to consider
- 13 the hypothetical condition that the property is
- 14 considered to be vacant land only?
- MR. PIZZI: Object to the form.
- 16 A. I didn't have any direct
- 17 communications with Cushman & Wakefield, but I
- 18 believe that what you're saying is accurate.
- 19 Q. I notice on Bates stamp 3, Page 3, it
- 20 appears that Robert DeFalco signed the engagement
- 21 letter addressed to Mr. Ross, but there's no
- 22 signature of Mr. Ross. Can you explain that?
- A. No. I never saw this until just
- 24 recently. I never even saw the engagement letter
- 25 until just recently. So I don't know why it wasn't

Page 68 1 signed. The Cushman & Wakefield engagement 2 Q. letter on Page 4 has a schedule of information that 3 Cushman & Wakefield needed to complete its 4 assignment. Did you have anything to do with the 5 communication or transmittal of that information? 6 I did not personally, no. 7 Α. If you look at Page 2 of the 8 Q. engagement letter, LMEC2, it promises a report 9 within five days under the heading "Report 10 Delivery." Do you see that? 11 12 Yes. Did you have an understanding of why 13 Q. that seems kind of rapid for an appraisal? 14 MR. CAMBRIA: Object to the form of 15 the question. 16 Can you explain why? 17 0. I was not part of the discussions 18 between D.B. Ross and Cushman & Wakefield. I think 19 that at the time we wanted this appraisal as quickly 20 as possible. 21 And for the record, for the reasons 22 0. you said earlier this morning? 23 24 Α. Correct.

25

Q.

Because of the financing issues?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 MR. PIZZI: Object to the form.
- 3 O. In the process of selecting Cushman &
- 4 Wakefield, did you participate in that? Do you know
- 5 why they --
- A. No, I was not involved in that. When
- 7 we determined that it was appropriate to obtain an
- 8 appraisal, D.B. said, "I'll take care of that." He
- 9 was the general outside counsel to the hospital and
- 10 he was willing to undertake that. I was busy with a
- 11 lot of other things at the time, so I gladly allowed
- 12 him that role of taking care of obtaining the
- 13 appraisal.
- 14 Q. And the decision to go to Cushman &
- 15 Wakefield, did you have any anything to do with
- 16 that?
- 17 A. I don't recall having anything to do
- 18 with it. I think the only thing I do recall talking
- 19 about was we wanted to have the appraisal prepared
- 20 by someone who's qualified and whose opinions were
- 21 respected.
- Q. Did you have experience with Cushman
- 23 & Wakefield prior to this?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew them to be qualified and

Case 09-01689-MS Doc 50-9 Filed 05/31/11 Entered 05/31/11 13:49:34 Desc

Exhibit #18 - 20 Page 26 of 28

Page 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
CASE NO. 07-15195 (MS)
CHAPTER 11

In re BAYONNE MEDICAL CENTER,

Debtor,

BAYONNE MEDICAL CENTER,
Debtor and

Debtor-in-Possession; and

ALLEN D. WILEN, in his

capacity as Liquidating

Trustee and Estate

Representative for the Estate :

of Debtor, Bayonne Medical

Center,

DEPOSITION OF:

PAUL W. KORCH

ORIGINAL

Plaintiff,

-vs-.

BAYONNE/OMNI DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., a New Jersey limited liability company; et al.,

Defendants. :

BEFORE:

SHARON B. STOPPIELLO, a Certified Court
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New
Jersey, at the offices of GRUEN & GOLDSTEIN, ESQS.,
1150 West Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey, on
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 2011, commencing at 9:15 a.m.,
pursuant to Notice.

DepoLink
Court Reporting & Litigation Support Services
Phone (973) 353-9880 Fax (973) 353-9445
www.depolinklegal.com

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did he tell you why it was limited to
- 3 land only?
- A. Again, there were the issues over the
- 5 cost of demolition that were unknown at the time.
- 6 Q. Did he tell you at any time why the
- 7 engagement letter didn't include the value of the
- 8 improvements at the property?
- 9 A. Well, I do remember to a certain
- 10 extent the improvements were quite old and had
- 11 functional deficiencies. And there were discussions
- 12 on whether or not valuing the property as improved
- 13 would yield a credible number.
- 14 Q. And what does a "credible number"
- 15 mean?
- 16 A. A number that would be considered
- 17 reliable.
- 18 O. And did you conclude that including
- 19 the improvements would not yield a credible number?
- 20 MR. PIZZI: Objection. It calls for
- 21 opinion. This man is a fact witness.
- MR. GRUEN: We all understand that,
- 23 and arguments will be made later to the Judge over
- 24 the significance of this question and others related
- 25 to it.

Exhibit #18 - 20 Page 28 of 28 Page 24 Could you restate the question? Α. 1 (The pending question is read by the 2. Reporter.) 3 Well, I think we suggested it. I Α. don't know if we included it. 5 Suggested what? 6 Q. That the land only should be Α. appraised. 8 And the client agreed with your 0. 9 conclusion? 10 Again, I don't know if it was a 11 Α. conclusion more than a suggestion at the time. 12 The client ultimately agreed with 13 your suggestion? 14 MR. PIZZI: Objection. 15 Did the client ultimately agree with 16 0. your suggestion? 17 When you say "my suggestion," that Α. 18 would be through Mr. DiFalco. 19 Did the client ultimately agree with 20 the suggestion that was communicated through Mr. 21 22 DiFalco? I believe so, if they signed the 23

Do you know the name Omni?

engagement letter to that effect.

Q.

24